

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/792,036	03/03/2004	Frank Francavilla	EXPAC 3.0-001 CIP	4800	
	590 11/28/2007 ID, LITTENBERG,		· EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ &	MENTLIK		CARTAGENA, MELVIN A		
600 SOUTH AV WESTFIELD, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3754		
			· ·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- c		
•		10/792,036	FRANCAVILLA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Melvin A. Cartagena	3754			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	'		
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S	eptember 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 62 and 63 is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>34-61</u> is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-21 and 23-36</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) <u>2-5,16,22 and 27</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) _ acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	·				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau		eceived in this National Stage			
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.			
Attachmer			(070 442)			
· —	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application -			

10/792,036 Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 8, 10-15, 17, 19, 21, 23-26, 28, 30, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6,554,520 to Tsuchiya.

Tsuchiya shows an instrument for applying a fluid as seen in Figs. 2 and 3, having a tampered fluid insert 20 for housing a fluid with a first end 21 and second end 20a, a protruding ridge 24 arranged on the exterior of the container between the first and second end, an outer casing 10 receiving the fluid insert and having a pump actuating surface 11, an applicator tip 11a and a ridge 10c, when assemble the protruding ridge 24 is located between the first end of the outer casing and the casing interior ridge 10c, a pump 22 arranged at the first end, the fluid insert is movable within the outer casing to actuate the pump, see column 5, lines 16-22, a dispenser cap 14, a brush element, see column 4, lines 1 and 2, a ridged seal plug 30 and a dispenser cap 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number:

10/792,036

Art Unit: 3754

4. Claims 9, 20 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,554,520 to Tsuchiya in view of US 6,592,282 to Fontanet et al.

Tsuchiya shows all claimed features as discussed above except for an instrument with an applicator in the form of a scrubbing pad. Fontanet shows an instrument for applying fluids, as seen in Fig. 1, with an applicator 103 in the form of a scrubbing pad. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the applicator brush of Tsuchiya for a scrubbing pad to use the instrument for applying a cosmetic product in the face of a user as taught by Fontanet.

5. Claims 7, 18 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,554,520 to Tsuchiya in view of US 5,295,601 to Bostelman.

Tsuchiya shows all claimed features as discussed above except for the dispensing cap of the instrument having a declogger to fit within the applicator opening. Bostelman shows a cap for a dispenser, as seen in Fig. 1, having a declogger 18. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the cap of the device of Tsuchiya to include a declogger to prevent blockage within the applicator tube as taught by Bostelman.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 34-61 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 2-5, 15, 16, 22 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10/792,036 Art Unit: 3754

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed September 13, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the applicant's arguments that the device of Tsuchiya lacks a pump, note that the fluid from the device of Tsuchiya is ejected form the reservoir into the applicator; The fluid does not flow under the influence of gravity, the fluid is in fact pump form the reservoir, see column 4, lines 17-20; Therefore, the element 22 in the device of Tsuchiya is a pump.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin A. Cartagena whose telephone number is (571) 272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30AM to 6:00 PM).

Application/Control Number:

10/792,036 Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MAC 11/21/07

SUPERVISORY PAPENT EXAMINER